

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,125	03/30/2001	Hernan G. Otero	21710-68378	6818	
28062 75	28062 7590 10/20/2006			EXAMINER	
BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF, TALWALKAR LLC			OYEBISI	OYEBISI, OJO O	
5 ELM STREE NEW CANAA	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3692		
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/823,125	OTERO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	OJO O. OYEBISI	3692			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		<u></u>			
Period for Reply		·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRUCTION OF THE MAILING DESTRUCTION OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	<u> August 2006</u> .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	•				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 💹 Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 09/823,125

Art Unit: 3692

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

In the amendment filed on 08/07/06, the following have occurred: claims 1 and 6 have been amended. Claims 1-6 and 8-14 are pending, and claims 1-6 and 8-14 stand rejected in this office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 3, 5 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Kane (U.S. Patent 6,317,728).

Re claim 1: Kane discloses a method for computerized trading comprising:

- A human being using a graphical user interface to enter parameters for a trading algorithm to input a trading order into a logic engine (i.e., decision logic, see abstract, also see "WealthBuilder also allows customer override and entry of trading commands", col.8 lines 20-36).
- using a first plug-in (i.e., agent) in said logic engine for implementing the trading algorithm (see col. 5, lines 5-10).
- inputting data for said order into said logic engine (see abstract).
- processing the order with said logic engine, using said plug-in (see abstract).

- executing said order (see abstract)
- said human being monitoring said order in real time by using said graphical user interface (i.e., WealthBuilder also provides a real time internet client allowing users to monitor trade execution and position values held so that a customer can feel connected to the trading environment. The computer makes the decisions but can be monitored remotely, see col.8 lines 20-35).

Re claims 2 and 3: Kane discloses a method wherein the step of inputting a trading order into a logic engine further comprises inputting an order through an ordering system (i.e., data acquisition system, see abstract).

**Re claim 5:** Kane discloses a method wherein the step of executing said order further comprises outputting said order through an ordering system (col. 3, lines 34-36).

**Re claim 8:** Kane discloses an apparatus for computerized trading comprising:

- a logic engine for processing trading orders (see abstract);
- an interface to said logic engine to receive from a human being parameters for a trading algorithm(data channel, see fig 1, elements 12, 13) and to allow the human being to monitor said order in real time (i.e., WealthBuilder also provides a real time internet client allowing users to monitor trade execution and position values held so that a customer can feel connected to the trading environment. The computer makes the decisions but can be monitored remotely, see col.8 lines 20-35).
- a first plug-in (i.e., agent) in said logic engine (i.e., decision logic) for implementing the trading algorithm (see col. 5, lines 5-10). Whereby said logic engine processes order received via said interface (see col. 5, lines 2-11); wherein said logic engine, said

Art Unit: 3692

interface and said first plug-in are software recorded on a computer-readable medium and capable of execution by a computer.

Re claim 9: Kane discloses an apparatus for computerized trading comprising:

- a logic engine (i.e., decision logic) for processing trading orders (see abstract);
- a first interface (i.e., input) to said logic engine for processing orders from a human being parameters for a trading algorithm (i.e., each decision agents representing a respective buy and a respective sell rule, see abstract) and to allow the human being to monitor said order in real time (i.e., WealthBuilder also provides a real time internet client allowing users to monitor trade execution and position values held so that a customer can feel connected to the trading environment. The computer makes the decisions but can be monitored remotely, see col.8 lines 20-35).
- a second interface (i.e., input) to said logic engine for processing orders (see abstract)
- a first plug-in (i.e., agent) in said logic engine for implementing the trading algorithm (col. 5, lines 5-10). Whereby said logic engine processes orders received via either of said first and second interfaces (see col. 5, lines 2-8, also see abstract); wherein said logic engine, said first interface and said second interface, and said first plug-in are software recorded on a computer-readable medium and capable of execution by a computer.

Re claim 10: Kane discloses an apparatus wherein said first interface further comprises an Input driver (i.e., agent, see col. 15, lines 5-15).

Art Unit: 3692

Re claim 11: Kane discloses an apparatus wherein said second interface further comprises an exchange driver (i.e., agent, see col. 15, lines 5-15).

**Re claim 12:** Kane discloses an apparatus wherein said first interface (i.e., input) further comprises an interface to an ordering system (see abstract).

**Re claim 13:** Kane discloses an apparatus wherein said second interface (i.e., input) further comprises an interface to an ordering system (see abstract).

Re claim 14: Kane further discloses an apparatus wherein said logic engine further comprises a Core Processing Area (i.e., central processing unit, see col. 5, lines 5-10).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. **Claims 4, 6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kane (U.S. Patent 6,317,728) in view of Microsoft Computer Dictionary (MCD hereinafter: Microsoft Computer Dictionary 5<sup>th</sup> edition, page 345).

Re claim 4: Kane discloses a method for computerized trading comprising: the steps of processing trading orders (see abstract). Kane does not explicitly disclose a step of deconstructing said Complex Order into at least one event and action. However, the method of deconstructing complex order into event/action is old and well known and it a

Application/Control Number: 09/823,125

Art Unit: 3692

well-taught modular design method in object-oriented modular programming (see Microsoft computer dictionary 5<sup>th</sup> edition, page 345). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement this well-taught modular design method in Kane to allow programmers to debug and recover very quickly from program crashes.

Re claim 6: Kane discloses a method for computerized trading comprising:

- A human being using a graphical user interface to enter parameters for a trading algorithm to input a ComplexOrder into a logic engine through an ordering system. -using a first plug-in (i.e., agent) in said logic engine for implementing the trading algorithm (see col. 5, lines 5-10).
- -inputting data for said order into said logic engine (see abstract).
- -Kane discloses a method wherein the step of executing said order further comprises outputting said order through an ordering system (col. 3, lines 34-36).
- -said human being monitoring said order in real time by using said graphical user interface to enter (i.e., WealthBuilder also provides a real time internet client allowing users to monitor trade execution and position values held so that a customer can feel connected to the trading environment. The computer makes the decisions but can be monitored remotely, see col.8 lines 20-35). However, Kane does not explicitly teach the step of deconstructing said complex order into events and actions. The method of deconstructing complex order into event/action is a well-taught modular design in object-oriented modular programming (see Microsoft computer dictionary 5<sup>th</sup> edition, page 345). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 3692

implement this well-taught modular design method in Kane to allow programmers to debug and recover very quickly from program crashes.

## Response to Arguments

. 5. Applicant's arguments filed 08/07/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the remarks, the applicant argues in substance that Kane fails to disclose a human being using a graphical user interface to enter parameters for a trading algorithm and the monitoring of said system. Contrary to the applicant's assertion, Kane explicitly discloses Wealthbuilder application which allows customers to override and enter trading commands (entry parameters for a trading), and provides a single screen (i.e., graphical user interface) for quote and position information (please see Kane for "WealthBuilder also provides a real time internet client allowing users to monitor trade execution and position values held so that a customer can feel connected to the trading environment. The computer makes the decisions but can be monitored remotely. WealthBuilder also allows customer override and entry of trading commands, and provides a single screen for quote and position information, see col.8 lines 20-35).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OJO O. OYEBISI whose telephone number is (571) 272-8298. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30A.M-5:30P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD E. CHILCOT can be reached on (571)272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FRANTZY POINVIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Au 3692